

S DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE **Patent and Trademark Offic**

COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Address:

Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET N 09/309.766 05/11/99 **FUJIMURA** Н 35.G2387 **EXAMINER** 005514 MMC1/0410 FITZPATRICK CELLA HARPER & SCINTO RAMSEY, K 30 ROCKEFELLER PLAZA **ART UNIT** PAPER NUMBER NEW YORK NY 10112

2879

DATE MAILED:

04/10/01

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks



Office Action Summary

X Responsive to communication(s) filed on Feb 8, 2001

Application No. 09/309,766 Applicant(s)

Group Art Unit

2879

Fujimura et al

Examiner Kenneth J. Ramsey

X This action is FINAL. ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.

A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expire month(s), or thirty days, whichever is longer, from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to respond within the period for response will cause the application to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133). Extensions of time may be obtained under the provisions of

Disposition	of	Claims
-------------	----	--------

37 CFR 1.136(a).	
Disposition of Claims	
X Claim(s) 1-24	is/are pending in the application.
Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.
☐ Claim(s)	is/are allowed.
X Claim(s) 1-24	is/are rejected.
☐ Claim(s)	is/are objected to.
☐ Claims	are subject to restriction or election requirement.
Application Papers	
☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Revi	ew, PTO-948.
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to	by the Examiner.
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	is 🗀 approved 🗆 disapproved.
\square The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	
☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	
$\hfill \square$ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under	35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).
☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the CERTIFIED copies of the p	priority documents have been
☐ received.	
☐ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number)	·
\square received in this national stage application from the Intern	national Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
*Certified copies not received:	
Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority und	er 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).
Attachment(s)	
☐ Notice of References Cited, PTO-892	

--- SEE OFFICE ACTION ON THE FOLLOWING PAGES ---

☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s).

■ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948

☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152

☐ Interview Summary, PTO-413

Art Unit: 2879

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-8, 10-20 and 22-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kawade et al in view of Kato et al and Shinichi JP 07-296748. It would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art to include both an non-evaporable getter and an evaporable getter in the vessel of Kawade et al since Kato et al and Shinichi both teach that gaseous contaminants produced during the exhaustion and sealing process can harm the cathodes if not gettered by an non-evaporable prior to sealing the exhaust tube. As to claims 5 and 6, the evacuation step is both prior to and during the activation of the non-evaporable getter since the temperature must be raised to activate the non-evaporable getter.

As to claims 10-12 and 22-24, the evaporable getter is obviously degassed during the heating and evacuation of the tube as are the other tube components.

Claims 9 and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kawade et al, Shinichi and Kato et al as applied to claims 8 and 20 above, and further in view of Wallace et al. It would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art to provide means for reactivating the non-evaporable getter such as taught by Wallace et al, column 6, line 66 through column 7, line 61, to thereby avoid the necessity of including a second evaporable getter.

Application/Control Number: 09/309,766 Page 3

Art Unit: 2879

Applicant's arguments filed February 8, 2001 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. It was clearly taught by both Kato et al and Shinichi that it is desirable to activate a getter prior to sealing. In fact the non-evaporable getter of Kato et al is inherently activated prior to sealing during the bake out process.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Kenneth J. Ramsey, (703)308-2324 (voice), (703) 308-7382 (fax).

KJR

April 9, 2001

KENNETH J. RAMSEY